

Challenges in Non-Clinical Testing of Hemostatic Medical Devices for Trauma Use

Charles N. Durfor, Ph.D.

FDA/CDRH/ODE/DSD

(301) 796-6970



Various Compositions of Hemostatic Devices

- Animal Tissue (e.g., Collagen, Gelatin, Chitosan, Thrombin)
- Derived from Plants (e.g., Alginate)
- Mineral-Based (e.g., Kaolin, Zeolite)
- Synthetics (e.g., Polyester, Carboxymethylcellulose)

Issues with Animal Source Material

Sourcing Issues

- Animal Husbandry
- Control of Tissue Collection
- Manufacturing Controls for Animal Tissue Components
- Sterilization (and Virus Validation Studies)

Medical Devices Containing Materials
Derived from Animal Sources
(Except for In Vitro Diagnostic Devices) (Draft)

<http://www.fda.gov/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/guidancedocuments/ucm381379.htm>

m

Considerations for Non-Clinical Testing

For Potential Battlefield Products

- Consider Environmental Conditions
 - Temperature
 - Altitude
 - Humidity
 - Robustness of Packaging
- Consider the User
 - Labeling revisions based on bench/animal experience

Biocompatibility - Use of ISO-10993, "Biological Evaluation of Medical Devices Part 1: Evaluation and Testing" – Draft Guidance 2013

- Series of standardized tests
- Dependent on:
 - Time of contact
 - Type of contact
- Works for many, but not all biomaterials

Device Categories		Biological Effect									
Body Contact (see 4.1)		Contact duration (see 4.2)	Cytotoxicity Sensitization Irritation or Intramuscular Reactivity			System Toxicity (Acute) Sub-chronic toxicity (sub-acute toxicity)			Genotoxicity Implantation Haemocompatibility		
			A-limited (24h)	B-prolonged (24h to 30 days)	C-permanent (>30days)						
Surface devices	Skin	A	x	x	x
		B	x	x	x
		C	x	x	x
	Mucosal membrane	A	x	x	x
		B	x	x	x	o	o	.	o	.	.
		C	x	x	x	o	x	x	o	.	.
	Breached or compromised surfaces	A	x	x	x	o
		B	x	x	x	o	o	.	o	.	.
		C	x	x	x	o	x	x	o	.	.
External communicating devices	Blood path, indirect	A	x	x	x	x	x
		B	x	x	x	x	o	.	.	.	x
		C	x	x	o	x	x	x	o	x	.
	Tissue/bone/dentin communicating+	A	x	x	x	o
		B	x	x	o	o	o	x	x	.	.
		C	x	x	o	o	o	x	x	.	.
	Circulating blood	A	x	x	x	x	.	o ^a	.	.	x
		B	x	x	x	x	o	x	o	x	.
		C	x	x	x	x	x	x	o	x	.
Implant devices	Tissue/bone	A	x	x	x	o
		B	x	x	o	o	o	x	x	.	.
		C	x	x	o	o	o	x	x	.	.
	Blood	A	x	x	x	x	.	.	x	x	.
		B	x	x	x	x	o	x	x	x	.
		C	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	.

Biocompatibility - Use of ISO-10993, "Biological Evaluation of Medical Devices Part 1: Evaluation and Testing" – Draft Guidance 2013

Points of Interest (pp. 9 – 13)

- Final Product or Representative Sample?
- *In Situ* Polymerizing Material
- Bioabsorbable Material
- Biological Response Resulting from Device Mechanical Failure
- Submicron or Nanotechnology Components
- Multiple components or materials in a single sample

Biocompatibility- Use of ISO-10993, "Biological Evaluation of Medical Devices Part 1: Evaluation and Testing" – Draft Guidance 2013

Sources of Information

- In-house studies
- Master Files from Raw Material Suppliers
- Published Literature
- Others? (e.g., MSDS)

Situations where Standard Biocompatibility Tests may be less than optimal

- **Final Product or Representative Sample?**

- Animal Tissue / Scaffold construct

- Sealant / Patch – Crosslinked *in situ*

- Surgical Instrument Models for TSE

“Certain instrument features are particularly difficult to clean – hinges, mated surfaces and lumens. Many TSE investigators are now using small (5 mm) stainless steel wires coated with inoculum in their studies of TSE transmissibility. The material is a suitable stand-in for many instruments.”

(page 7 – FDA Briefing Material 9/27/05 – Panel Mtg.)

Situations where Standard Biocompatibility Tests may be less than optimal

In Situ Polymerizing and Bioabsorbable Materials

- Consider the Reagents
- Consider the Reaction
- Consider the Final Product
- Consider the Decomposition Products
- Kinetics of Resorption

Situations where Standard Biocompatibility Tests may be less than optimal

Submicron or Nanotechnology Components

- Unique properties of submicron / nanotechnology components, (e.g., large surface area / particle, aggregation, agglomeration, immunogenicity, toxicity (altered release kinetics?))
- Rationally designed features that modify host cell response.

Situations where Standard Biocompatibility Tests may be less than optimal

Submicron or Nanotechnology Components

Consider:

- Careful characterization of the test sample and extract conditions (e.g., solvent type) to avoid non-clinically relevant testing artifacts
- Assure that the test article is representative of the clinical product

Resources

Device Search Engines

PMA/510k/MAUDE

<http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/databases.html>

CDRH - Guidance Database Search Engine

<http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/default.htm>

Use of International Standard ISO-10993, "Biological Evaluation of Medical Devices Part 1: Evaluation and Testing" (Draft)

<http://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM348890.pdf>

Medical Devices Containing Materials Derived from Animal Sources (Except for In Vitro Diagnostic Devices) (Draft)

<http://www.fda.gov/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/guidancedocuments/ucm381379.htm>